1 2	ORRY P. KORB, County Counsel (S.B. #114399) MELISSA R. KINIYALOCTS, Deputy County Counsel (S.B. #215814) OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL 70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, Ninth Floor		
3	San Jose, California 95110-1770		
4	Telephone: (408) 299-5900 Facsimile: (408) 292-7240		
5	Attorneys for Defendants		
6	SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH and COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
7			
8		DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (San Francisco)		
10			
11	TOM SCOCCA, MADISON SOCIETY, INC.,	No. CV11-01318 EMC	
12	and THE CALGUNS FOUNDATION, INC.,	STIPULATION AND ORDER	
13	Plaintiffs,	CONTINUING AUGUST 6, 2015 CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	
14	V.		
15	SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH (In her individual and official capacity.), COUNTY OF SANTA		
16	CLARA, and DOES 1 to 20,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	1. On January 14, 2013, the Court issued an order staying this case until the Ninth Circuit		
20	rules on Peruta v. County of San Diego, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto (Yolo County),		
21	Case No.: 11-16255. The Court previously continued case management conferences in this action		
22	on the grounds that <i>Richards</i> and <i>Peruta</i> were still pending.		
23	2. The Ninth Circuit held oral argument	in Peruta and Richards en banc, on June 16,	
24	2015, but has not yet issued a decision.		
25	3. The parties agree that the August 6, 2	015 Case Management Conference should be	
26	continued while the Ninth Circuit considers en bar	nc review in Peruta and Richards.	
27	//		
28	//		

Case3:11-cv-01318-EMC Document90 Filed08/03/15 Page2 of 2

1	I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a		
2	"conformed" signature (/S/) within this efiled document.		
3		ORRY P. KORB COUNTY COUNSEL	
4		COUNTI COUNSEL	
5	Dated: August 3, 2015	By: /S/ MELISSA R. KINIYALOCTS	
6		Deputy County Counsel	
7		Attorneys for Defendants	
8		SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH and COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA	
9			
10		LAW OFFICES OF DONALD WILMED	
11		LAW OFFICES OF DONALD KILMER	
12	Dated: August 3, 2015	By: /S/ DONALD E.J. KILMER, JR., ESQ.	
13			
14		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15			
16		ORDER	
16 17	The August 3, 2015 Case Manageme	ORDER nt Conference is continued to	
17	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this	nt Conference is continued to	
17 18	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this	nt Conference is continued to Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing	
17 18 19	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of Sa</i>	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing <i>n Diego</i> , Case No.: 10-56971 and <i>Richards v. Prieto</i>	
17 18 19 20	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of Sa</i>	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing <i>n Diego</i> , Case No.: 10-56971 and <i>Richards v. Prieto</i> EDWARD M. CHEN	
17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of Sa</i>	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing <i>n Diego</i> , Case No.: 10-56971 and <i>Richards v. Prieto</i>	
17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of Sa</i>	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing <i>n Diego</i> , Case No.: 10-56971 and <i>Richards v. Prieto</i> EDWARD M. CHEN	
117 118 119 220 221 222 233	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of Sa</i>	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing <i>n Diego</i> , Case No.: 10-56971 and <i>Richards v. Prieto</i> EDWARD M. CHEN	
117 118 119 220 221 222 23 24	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of Sa</i>	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing <i>n Diego</i> , Case No.: 10-56971 and <i>Richards v. Prieto</i> EDWARD M. CHEN	
117 118 119 220 221 222 23 24 25	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of Sa</i>	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing <i>n Diego</i> , Case No.: 10-56971 and <i>Richards v. Prieto</i> EDWARD M. CHEN	
117 118 119 220 221 222 233 224 225 226	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of Sa</i>	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing <i>n Diego</i> , Case No.: 10-56971 and <i>Richards v. Prieto</i> EDWARD M. CHEN	